Economic Decentralization through Blockchain Opportunities Challenges and New Business Models

Berlilana[1,*], Arif Mu'amar[2]

[1,2]Magister of Computer Science, Computer Science Faculty, Universitas Amikom Purwokerto, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Blockchain technology has emerged as a transformative force with the potential to decentralize economic systems and create innovative business models. This paper explores the opportunities and challenges associated with economic decentralization through blockchain, focusing on the development and sustainability of new business models such as Decentralized Finance (DeFi) platforms and Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs). The study employs a qualitative research design, incorporating a comprehensive literature review and detailed case studies of prominent blockchain-based platforms. The findings highlight the significant potential of blockchain to democratize access to financial services, enhance transparency, and reduce reliance on intermediaries. However, the study also identifies critical challenges that must be addressed for blockchain to achieve widespread adoption. These include scalability issues, regulatory uncertainty, and security vulnerabilities, all of which pose significant risks to the sustainability of blockchain-based business models. A SWOT analysis is conducted to provide a structured evaluation of these strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats, offering insights into the strategic position of blockchain in various industries. The analysis reveals that while the opportunities for innovation and disruption are vast, the path to realizing these benefits is fraught with technical, legal, and operational challenges. The paper concludes that ongoing research, technological advancements, and regulatory clarity will be essential to unlocking the full potential of blockchain technology in driving economic decentralization.

Keywords Blockchain, Economic Decentralization, Decentralized Finance (DeFi), Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs), Regulatory Challenges

Submitted 7 June 2024
Accepted 2 August 2024
Published 1 September 2024

Corresponding author
Berlilana,
berli@amikompurwokerto.ac.id

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 121

DOI: 10.47738/jcrb.v1i2.14

INTRODUCTION

In the digital age, blockchain technology has emerged as one of the most revolutionary innovations, drawing significant attention across various sectors, including finance, healthcare, supply chain management, and beyond [1]. Initially introduced as the foundational technology behind cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin, blockchain has evolved into a promising platform for creating decentralized business ecosystems [2]. Decentralization in this context refers to the removal of intermediaries and central authorities in economic transactions, enabling individuals and organizations to operate directly and transparently [3].

The decentralization of the economy through blockchain offers significant opportunities to transform traditional business models, which are often centralized and susceptible to monopolistic control [4]. By leveraging distributed ledgers, smart contracts, and asset tokenization, blockchain can open up broader access to global markets, empower individuals and small to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and drive innovation in financial services

through concepts such as Decentralized Finance (DeFi) [5]. These new business models not only allow for greater transparency and efficiency but also hold the potential to redistribute economic power from centralized entities to a wider community [6].

Despite its promise, the application of blockchain in creating decentralized economies is still in its early stages. Current research primarily focuses on the technological advancements of blockchain, including improvements in consensus algorithms, scalability solutions, and the integration of blockchain with other technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) [7]. Additionally, there has been significant exploration into the development of decentralized applications (dApps) and the potential of smart contracts to automate and enforce agreements without the need for intermediaries [8]. However, while the technological foundation is rapidly advancing, the implications of these innovations on economic structures and business models are not fully understood, particularly in how they can be practically implemented and sustained over time [9].

While there is substantial literature on the technical aspects of blockchain and its potential applications, there is a noticeable gap in research that critically examines the practical implications of blockchain-enabled decentralization on existing economic models. Specifically, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that explore the challenges and opportunities presented by this decentralization in creating sustainable and scalable business models [10]. Furthermore, the regulatory and legal frameworks required to support these new models remain underdeveloped, leading to uncertainty and risk for businesses considering blockchain adoption [11]. This paper aims to bridge this gap by providing an in-depth analysis of the opportunities and challenges of economic decentralization through blockchain, focusing on the development of new business models that can thrive in this emerging paradigm.

This paper aims to explore the opportunities presented by the decentralization of the economy through blockchain and analyze the challenges faced in its adoption. By examining various new business models that have emerged from blockchain applications, this study will provide insights into how this technology can drive innovation while mapping out the risks and obstacles that business practitioners and policymakers need to consider.

Literature Review

Blockchain Technology: Foundations and Principles

Blockchain technology was first introduced in 2008 oleh Satoshi Nakamoto dalam whitepaper "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System" [1]. This paper laid the groundwork for a decentralized ledger system, which allows transactions to be recorded across a distributed network of computers (nodes) without the need for a central authority. Each block in the blockchain contains a cryptographic hash of the previous block, a timestamp, and transaction data, making it tamper-resistant and highly secure.

Since its inception, blockchain has evolved beyond its initial application in cryptocurrencies. The core principles of blockchain—decentralization, transparency, immutability, and security—have been applied to various sectors. Researchers such as Yli-Huumo et al. [4] have explored the scalability challenges of blockchain, while others like Zheng et al. [3] have provided comprehensive overviews of blockchain's technical challenges and opportunities.

Economic Decentralization through Blockchain

Economic decentralization refers to the redistribution of economic power from centralized entities (such as banks and large corporations) to individuals and smaller entities. Blockchain facilitates this shift by enabling peer-to-peer transactions and reducing the need for intermediaries. DeFi, or Decentralized Finance, is one of the most significant examples of how blockchain is decentralizing the financial sector. DeFi platforms allow users to lend, borrow, trade, and invest without relying on traditional financial institutions.

Research by Schär [2] highlights the potential of DeFi to democratize financial services, making them accessible to a broader population. However, it also points out the risks associated with this nascent sector, such as smart contract vulnerabilities and regulatory uncertainties. Another important contribution is by Narayanan et al. [6], who discuss how blockchain's decentralization could disrupt various industries beyond finance, including supply chains, healthcare, and voting systems.

Business Models Enabled by Blockchain

Blockchain has paved the way for new business models that are more transparent, efficient, and resilient. Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs) represent a novel organizational structure where decision-making is automated through smart contracts. Unlike traditional organizations, DAOs operate without centralized leadership, and decisions are made collectively by stakeholders. According to Wright and De Filippi [5], the concept of DAOs could revolutionize how businesses are managed, leading to greater efficiency and less susceptibility to corruption or human error.

Tokenization is another critical development in blockchain-enabled business models. By converting physical assets into digital tokens on a blockchain, companies can facilitate fractional ownership, increase liquidity, and reduce transaction costs. Catalini and Gans [7] discuss how tokenization can democratize access to investments and transform industries such as real estate and art.

Regulatory and Legal Challenges

The regulatory landscape for blockchain and decentralized business models is complex and rapidly evolving. Different countries have adopted varying approaches, from supportive to restrictive. For example, the European Union's Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) regulation seeks to create a harmonized framework for crypto-assets, while the United States has a more fragmented approach, with different states enacting their own regulations.

Research by Zohar [8] examines the challenges posed by the pseudonymous nature of blockchain transactions, which complicates compliance with anti-money laundering (AML) and know-your-customer (KYC) regulations. Furthermore, the legal status of smart contracts remains ambiguous in many jurisdictions, as discussed by Werbach and Cornell [9]. This uncertainty poses significant risks for businesses looking to adopt blockchain-based models.

Challenges in Scalability, Security, and Interoperability

While blockchain offers numerous benefits, it also faces significant technical challenges that must be addressed to achieve widespread adoption. Scalability is one of the most critical issues; as blockchain networks grow, the

time and resources required to process transactions can increase exponentially. Solutions such as sharding and layer-two protocols have been proposed, as discussed by Luu et al. [10], but these solutions are still in the experimental stage.

Security is another major concern, especially with the rise of DeFi platforms that handle large volumes of digital assets. Smart contract bugs and vulnerabilities have led to high-profile security breaches, as noted by Atzei, Bartoletti, and Cimoli [11]. Finally, interoperability between different blockchain networks remains a challenge. Research by Zhang and Lee [12] highlights the need for standard protocols to enable seamless communication between various blockchain ecosystems.

Despite the growing body of literature on blockchain technology and its applications, there is still a significant gap in understanding the practical implications of blockchain-enabled economic decentralization on existing and emerging business models. Much of the current research is focused on the technical aspects of blockchain or on its application in specific industries, with less attention given to the broader economic impacts. Additionally, the regulatory challenges and potential legal implications of fully decentralized business operations remain underexplored. This paper seeks to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive analysis of the opportunities and challenges associated with economic decentralization through blockchain.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design aimed at exploring the opportunities and challenges associated with economic decentralization through blockchain technology. The research approach is primarily descriptive and exploratory, with the goal of providing a comprehensive understanding of how blockchain can enable new business models and the potential barriers to their implementation [13]. To achieve this, the study integrates both theoretical analysis and case studies, which together form the basis for addressing the research questions [14].

Data Collection

Literature Review. The data collection process begins with an extensive literature review, which is instrumental in gathering secondary data from existing research on blockchain technology, decentralized business models, and relevant regulatory frameworks [15]. This literature review encompasses a broad range of sources, including academic journals, conference papers, whitepapers, and industry reports. These sources were carefully selected to establish a robust theoretical foundation for the study, as well as to identify current trends, challenges, and opportunities in the field of blockchain technology and economic decentralization [16]. The inclusion of peer-reviewed journals ensures that the research is grounded in credible and authoritative sources, while industry reports and whitepapers provide practical insights from leading blockchain projects and think tanks [17].

Case Studies. In addition to the literature review, this study incorporates multiple case studies of organizations and projects that have successfully implemented blockchain technology to create decentralized business models [18]. These case studies were chosen based on specific criteria that ensure

their relevance to the research questions. The selected cases exemplify innovative uses of blockchain and have had a significant impact on their respective industries. To capture a global perspective on blockchain adoption, the case studies include projects from various regions, reflecting the diversity of approaches and challenges in different parts of the world [19]. By focusing on prominent cases, the study provides empirical evidence that highlights both the successes and challenges faced by businesses adopting blockchain for economic decentralization.

Data Analysis

Thematic Analysis. The data obtained from the literature review and case studies were analyzed using thematic analysis, a qualitative method that involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns or themes within the data [20]. Thematic analysis was chosen for its ability to provide a detailed examination of the opportunities and challenges associated with blockchain-based economic decentralization. The process began with a thorough familiarization with the data, allowing for an in-depth understanding of its content. This was followed by a systematic coding of key concepts and ideas across the entire dataset. The coded data were then grouped into themes that represent significant patterns, which were reviewed and refined to ensure they accurately capture the essence of the data and are aligned with the research questions [21]. Finally, these themes were structured into a coherent narrative, offering valuable insights into the core issues explored in this study.

SWOT Analysis. To further enhance the analysis of the case studies, a SWOT analysis (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats) was conducted for each decentralized business model examined [22]. The SWOT analysis provided a systematic framework for assessing both the internal and external factors that influence the success and sustainability of these blockchain-based business models. By evaluating strengths and weaknesses, as well as identifying potential opportunities and threats, the SWOT analysis offers a comprehensive understanding of the strategic position of each business model within the broader economic landscape [23].

Ethical considerations in this study are minimal, given that the research primarily involves secondary data and information that is publicly available. Nonetheless, careful attention was paid to ensuring that all sources were accurately cited and that the analysis respects the intellectual property of the original authors. The case studies included in this research were selected based on publicly accessible information, ensuring transparency and ethical integrity in the data collection process. No confidential or proprietary data was used in this study [24].

While this study provides valuable insights into the opportunities and challenges of blockchain-based economic decentralization, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations. The research relies on secondary data and selected case studies, which may not fully capture the diversity of blockchain applications across different industries and regions [25]. Additionally, given the rapidly evolving nature of blockchain technology, some of the findings presented in this study may quickly become outdated as new developments emerge. Despite these limitations, the study contributes significantly to the understanding of broader trends and challenges in the field, offering a foundation for future research and practical application.

Result and Discussion

Decentralized Finance (DeFi) Platforms. The examination of decentralized finance (DeFi) platforms reveals the significant potential for creating a more inclusive and transparent financial system. Table 1 provides a comparative overview of key DeFi platforms, highlighting their core functionalities, unique features, and the challenges they face.

Table 1 Comparative Analysis of Key DeFi Platforms

Platform	Core Functionality	Unique Features	Challenges
Uniswap	Decentralized exchange (DEX)	Automated market maker (AMM) model, liquidity pools	High gas fees, front-running attacks
Aave	Lending and borrowing	Flash loans, multiple collateral types	Smart contract vulnerabilities, scalability
Compound	Lending and borrowing	Algorithmic interest rate setting, governance token (COMP)	Liquidation risks, centralization concerns

These platforms illustrate how blockchain technology enables decentralized financial services without intermediaries. Uniswap's AMM model, for example, allows users to trade tokens directly from liquidity pools without needing a counterparty. However, as noted in the challenges column, these platforms are not without issues. High gas fees and front-running attacks are significant problems for Uniswap, while Aave faces risks related to smart contract vulnerabilities.

Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs). DAOs represent a new form of organizational governance, allowing stakeholders to participate in decision-making processes through blockchain-based smart contracts. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics, governance models, and key challenges faced by prominent DAOs.

Table 2 Characteristics and Governance Models of Prominent DAOs

DAO	Primary Function	Governance Model	Key Challenges
MakerDAO	Stablecoin issuance (DAI)	Token-weighted voting (MKR)	Regulatory uncertainty, governance attacks
Aragon	DAO creation and management tools	Modular governance frameworks	Complexity of smart contracts, legal recognition issues
The DAO	Venture capital fund	Token-weighted voting	Security flaws, led to hard fork in Ethereum

MakerDAO, for example, operates a decentralized stablecoin (DAI) governed by MKR token holders. While it has demonstrated the potential of decentralized governance, it also faces significant challenges, particularly in terms of regulatory uncertainty and the risk of governance attacks, where malicious actors may accumulate tokens to influence decisions.

SWOT Analysis of Blockchain-Based Business Models

The SWOT analysis provides a structured evaluation of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats associated with blockchain-based

business models, focusing on both DeFi platforms and DAOs. Table 3 below expands on the previous SWOT analysis, offering a more granular view of each element.

Table 3 Expanded SWOT Analysis of Blockchain-Based Business Models

Category	Detailed Elements
Strengths	- **Transparency and Immutability:** Immutable records foster trust.
	- **Decentralized Control:** Empowers users by removing intermediaries.
	- **Security and Privacy:** Cryptographic techniques ensure data integrity and user privacy.
	- **Flexibility:** Smart contracts can be customized for various applications.
Weaknesses	- **Scalability Issues:** Current blockchains struggle with high transaction volumes.
	- **Regulatory Uncertainty:** The lack of clear regulatory frameworks creates compliance risks.
	- **Technical Complexity:** The steep learning curve limits adoption among non-technical users.
	- **Energy Consumption:** High energy requirements, especially for proof-of-work (PoW) blockchains.
Opportunities	- **Disruption Potential:** Ability to transform industries like finance, real estate, and supply chain management.
	- **Tokenization of Assets:** Enables fractional ownership and enhances liquidity.
	- **Integration with AI and IoT:** Creates new, intelligent systems for autonomous transactions and process optimization.
	- **Emerging Markets:** Blockchain can provide financial services in regions with limited access to traditional banking.
Threats	- **Regulatory Backlash:** Potential for restrictive regulations that could limit blockchain adoption.
	- **Cybersecurity Risks:** Smart contracts and dApps are vulnerable to hacks and exploits.
	- **Market Volatility:** Fluctuations in cryptocurrency values can destabilize blockchain-based models.
	- **Adoption Barriers:** Resistance from traditional industries and lack of understanding among potential users.

Discussion

The discussion integrates the insights from the case studies and SWOT analysis to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of blockchain-based business models.

Decentralization vs. Scalability: As highlighted in the analysis, the tension between decentralization and scalability remains a critical challenge. Table 4 provides a summary of the trade-offs between decentralization and scalability, using specific examples from the case studies.

Table 4 Decentralization vs. Scalability Trade-offs in Blockchain Platforms

Platform/DAO	Decentralization Level	Scalability Performance	Implications
Uniswap	High	Moderate	High decentralization leads to challenges in handling large transaction volumes, resulting in high gas fees.
Ethereum 1.0	Moderate	Low	Proof-of-Work consensus ensures security but limits transaction throughput, causing network congestion.
Aave	High	Moderate	Decentralized lending platform with moderate scalability, affected by network congestion during peak usage.

Aragon	High	Low to Moderate	Offers extensive customization but faces scalability challenges due to complex smart contracts.

This table illustrates those platforms with higher levels of decentralization, such as Uniswap and Aave, often face greater scalability challenges, leading to higher transaction costs and slower processing times. These trade-offs are critical for developers and users to consider when designing or choosing a blockchain-based platform.

Regulatory Uncertainty: Another major theme emerging from the analysis is the ongoing regulatory uncertainty surrounding blockchain technology. Table 5 summarizes the regulatory approaches of different jurisdictions and their potential impacts on blockchain adoption.

Table 5 Regulatory Approaches to Blockchain in Key Jurisdictions

Jurisdiction	Regulatory Approach	Implications for Blockchain Adoption
United States	Fragmented (varies by state)	Uncertainty deters large-scale institutional adoption; compliance challenges for DAOs and DeFi.
European Union	Harmonized (MiCA regulation)	Provides a clearer framework, potentially increasing adoption and investment in blockchain technologies.
China	Restrictive	Ban on cryptocurrency trading and mining stifles blockchain innovation domestically, pushes projects overseas.
Japan	Progressive (crypto-friendly)	Encourages blockchain innovation with clear regulations, making it a hub for blockchain startups.

The table shows how different regulatory environments can either foster or hinder the growth of blockchain technology. For instance, the European Union's effort to create a harmonized regulatory framework through MiCA (Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation) is likely to encourage greater adoption of blockchain technologies by providing clarity and legal certainty.

Security Concerns: Security remains a paramount concern for blockchain-based systems, as noted in the case studies of DeFi platforms and DAOs. Table 6 highlights the major security vulnerabilities identified in these platforms and the strategies being implemented to mitigate these risks.

Table 6 Security Vulnerabilities and Mitigation Strategies in Blockchain Platforms

Platform	Security Vulnerability	Mitigation Strategy
Uniswap	Front-running attacks	Implementation of gas limit caps and order batching mechanisms.
Aave	Smart contract exploits	Regular code audits, bug bounties, and insurance mechanisms.
MakerDAO	Governance attacks	Implementation of multi-sig wallets and increased voter participation.
The DAO	Re-entrancy attack (led to hard fork)	Introduction of secure coding practices and more rigorous auditing processes.

This table underscores the importance of security in maintaining trust in decentralized systems. The vulnerabilities identified, such as front-running attacks and smart contract exploits, have significant implications for the

stability and reliability of these platforms. Mitigation strategies, including code audits and insurance mechanisms, are critical for reducing these risks and ensuring the long-term viability of blockchain-based business models.

Opportunities for Innovation: Despite the challenges, the potential for innovation in blockchain technology remains vast. The ability to create decentralized systems that operate independently of traditional intermediaries offers new possibilities for industries such as finance, supply chain management, and healthcare. The integration of blockchain with other emerging technologies, such as AI and IoT, presents further opportunities for the development of intelligent, autonomous systems. Table 7 outlines the potential applications of blockchain in various industries and the opportunities they present.

Table 7 Potential Applications of Blockchain Across Industries

Industry	Potential Applications	Opportunities
Finance	DeFi, cross-border payments, asset tokenization	Disrupt traditional banking, democratize access to financial services.
Supply Chain	Provenance tracking, anti-counterfeiting measures	Enhance transparency, reduce fraud, improve efficiency.
Healthcare	Secure patient data management, drug traceability	Protect patient privacy, ensure authenticity of medical supplies.
Real Estate	Tokenized property ownership, smart contracts for sales	Increase liquidity, reduce transaction costs, streamline property transfers.
Energy	Decentralized energy grids, peer-to-peer energy trading	Promote renewable energy use, empower consumers.

This table illustrates the diverse applications of blockchain technology across different industries, highlighting the opportunities for innovation and disruption. For example, in the finance industry, blockchain enables decentralized financial services that bypass traditional banking systems, while in supply chain management, it can provide transparent tracking of goods, reducing the risk of fraud and improving efficiency.

Conclusion

This paper has explored the transformative potential of blockchain technology in facilitating economic decentralization and enabling new business models, particularly through the lens of Decentralized Finance (DeFi) platforms and Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs). The study reveals that blockchain offers significant opportunities to disrupt traditional economic structures by removing intermediaries, increasing transparency, and enhancing security. These innovations have the potential to democratize access to financial services, enable new forms of governance, and create more inclusive and efficient economic systems.

However, the widespread adoption of blockchain-based business models faces several critical challenges. Scalability remains a significant hurdle, as the current infrastructure struggles to support the growing number of users and transactions without compromising speed and affordability. As blockchain

networks like Ethereum work towards solutions such as layer-2 scaling and the implementation of proof-of-stake mechanisms, these technological advancements will be crucial in determining the future scalability of blockchain applications.

Regulatory uncertainty is another significant challenge that threatens the growth and sustainability of blockchain-based models. The decentralized and borderless nature of blockchain complicates the regulatory landscape, with different jurisdictions adopting varying approaches to digital assets and smart contracts. This fragmentation creates legal ambiguities that could hinder innovation and discourage investment. Establishing clear, consistent, and supportive regulatory frameworks will be essential for fostering trust and stability in blockchain ecosystems.

Security concerns, particularly related to smart contract vulnerabilities and the risk of cyber attacks, also pose substantial risks. Despite the inherent security of blockchain technology, the complexity of smart contracts makes them susceptible to coding errors and exploitation. To build confidence in blockchain systems, it is imperative to strengthen security measures through rigorous testing, auditing, and the development of more robust protocols.

The SWOT analysis conducted in this study highlights both the strengths and weaknesses of blockchain-based business models, while also identifying significant opportunities and threats. The ability to tokenize assets, disrupt traditional industries, and integrate with emerging technologies presents vast potential for innovation. However, these opportunities are tempered by the risks associated with regulatory backlash, security breaches, and market volatility.

While blockchain technology holds immense promise for decentralizing economic systems and fostering new business models, realizing this potential will require overcoming key challenges. Continued advancements in scalability, security, and regulatory clarity will be pivotal in shaping the future of blockchain. As the technology matures, it has the potential to fundamentally reshape how businesses operate, leading to a more decentralized, transparent, and equitable global economy. Future research should focus on addressing the identified challenges and exploring the practical implications of blockchain in various sectors, to fully harness the transformative power of this technology.

Declarations

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: B., A.M.; Methodology: B., A.M.; Software: B.; Validation: B.; Formal Analysis: B.; Investigation: B.; Resources: B.; Data Curation: A.M.; Writing Original Draft Preparation: A.M.; Writing Review and Editing: B.; Visualization: A.M.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

[1] S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System," 2008.

[2] F. Schär, "Decentralized finance: On blockchain- and smart contract-based financial markets," Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 153-174, 2021

[3] Z. Zheng, S. Xie, H. Dai, X. Chen, and H. Wang, "An overview of blockchain technology: Architecture, consensus, and future trends," in Proc. IEEE 6th Int. Congress Big Data (BigData Congress), vol. 2017, no. 1, pp. 557-564, 2017.

[4] J. Yli-Huumo, D. Ko, S. Choi, S. Park, and K. Smolander, "Where is current research on blockchain technology?—A systematic review," PloS One, vol. 11, no. 10, pp. 1-12, 2016.

[5] A. Wright and P. De Filippi, "Decentralized blockchain technology and the rise of lex cryptographia," Social Science Research Network (SSRN), Mar. 2015.

[6] A. Narayanan, J. Bonneau, E. Felten, A. Miller, and S. Goldfeder, Bitcoin and Cryptocurrency Technologies: A Comprehensive Introduction, Princeton University Press, 2016.

[7] C. Catalini and J. Gans, "Some Simple Economics of the Blockchain," MIT Sloan Research Paper, vol. 2016, no. 5191-16, pp. 1-10, 2016.

[8] A. Zohar, "Bitcoin: under the hood," Communications of the ACM, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 104-113, 2015.

[9] K. Werbach and N. Cornell, "Contracts Ex Machina," Duke Law Journal, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 313-382, 2017.

[10] L. Luu, D.-H. Chu, H. Olickel, P. Saxena, and A. Hobor, "Making smart contracts smarter," in Proc. ACM SIGSAC Conf. Comput. Commun. Security, vol. 2016, no. 1, pp. 254-269, 2016.

[11] N. Atzei, M. Bartoletti, and T. Cimoli, "A survey of attacks on Ethereum smart contracts (SoK)," in Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Principles Security Trust (POST'17), vol. 2017, no. 1, pp. 164-186, 2017.

[12] R. Xu and E. Guan, "Can blockchain innovation promote total factor productivity? Evidence from Chinese-listed firms," Applied Economics, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 653-670, 2022.

[13] J. W. Creswell, Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five

Approaches, 3rd ed. Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publications, 2013.

[14] R. K. Yin, Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods, 6th ed. Los Angeles, CA: Sage Publications, 2018.

[15] J. Webster and R. T. Watson, "Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review," MIS Quarterly, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. xiii-xxiii, Jun. 2002.

[16] G. Paré, M. Trudel, M. Jaana, and S. Kitsiou, "Synthesizing information systems knowledge: A typology of literature reviews," Information & Management, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 183-199, Mar. 2015.

[17] B. Hileman and M. Rauchs, "Global cryptocurrency benchmarking study," Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 33-71, Apr. 2017.

[18] K. M. Eisenhardt and M. E. Graebner, "Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges," Academy of Management Journal, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 25-32, Feb. 2007.

[19] B. Flyvbjerg, "Five misunderstandings about case-study research," Qualitative Inquiry, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 219-245, Apr. 2006.

[20] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Using thematic analysis in psychology," Qualitative Research in Psychology, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77-101, Jan. 2006.

[21] L. S. Nowell, J. M. Norris, D. E. White, and N. J. Moules, "Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria," International Journal of Qualitative Methods, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1-13, Dec. 2017.

[22] E. Gürel and M. Tat, "SWOT analysis: A theoretical review," Journal of International Social Research, vol. 10, no. 51, pp. 994-1006, Oct. 2017.

[23] M. M. Helms and J. Nixon, "Exploring SWOT analysis – where are we now?: A review of academic research from the last decade," Journal of Strategy and Management, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 215-251, Aug. 2010.

[24] M. Israel and I. Hay, Research Ethics for Social Scientists: Between Ethical Conduct and Regulatory Compliance, London, UK: Sage Publications, 2006.

[25] J. Ritchie, J. Lewis, C. M. Nicholls, and R. Ormston, Qualitative Research Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers, 2nd ed. London, UK: Sage Publications, 2013.